

Proposal Title :	Height and FSR amendment - '	Height and FSR amendment - 1-25 Marshall Avenue, Lane Cove				
Proposal Summary :	The proposal seeks to amend the permissible height and floor space ratio controls for 1-25 Marshall Avenue, St Leonards which is now in one ownership and is zoned B4 Mixed Use. The height and FSR for the majority of the site has been reduced, with the exception of the eastern portion of the site which has been increased to allow a tower development and reduce overshadowing.					
PP Number :	PP_2012_LANEC_002_00	Dop File No :	12/05150			
oposal Details						
Date Planning Proposal Received :	15-Mar-2012	LGA covered :	Lane Cove			
Region :	Sydney Region East	RPA :	Lane Cove Municipal Council			
State Electorate :	LANE COVE	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal			
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning					
ocation Details						
Street : 1-2	25 Marshall Avenue	10				
Suburb : St	Leonards City :	Sydney	Postcode : 2036			
Land Parcel :						
DoP Planning Off	icer Contact Details					
Contact Name :	Tharani Yoganathan					
Contact Number :	0292286502					
Contact Email :	tharani.yoganathan@planning.r	isw.gov.au				
RPA Contact Deta	ils					
Contact Name :	Stephanie Bashford					
Contact Number :	0299113555		<u>и</u>			
Contact Email :	sbashford@lanecove.nsw.gov.a	u				
DoP Project Mana	ger Contact Details					
Contact Name :	Juliet Grant					
Contact Number :	0292286113					
Contact Email :	Juliet.Grant@planning.nsw.gov	au				
Land Release Dat						
Growth Centre :		Release Area Name :				
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	Metro Inner North subregion	Consistent with Strategy :	No			

#### . FOR . . ..... ..... .

	dment - 1-25 Marshall A		
MDP Number :	0	Date of Release :	ē
Area of Release (Ha) :	0.00	Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment :			
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	Νο		н 1
If Yes, comment :			
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :	The Lane Cove LEP 2009 co -a height limit of 36m for th southern edge, flanking Ma -an FSR of 5:1.	e northern part of the block with	a narrow strip at 9.5m on the
External Supporting Notes :			
Adequacy Assessmen	ıt		
Statement of the ob			
Is a statement of the ob	ojectives provided? Yes		
Comment :	residential amenity for t	nning proposal is to reduce shad he site by re-distributing the FSR istern end, so that one corner of s less capacity.	within the site and allowing a
Explanation of prov	isions provided - s55(2)	)(b)	
Is an explanation of pro	ovisions provided? Yes		
Comment :	decrease the FSR to 2.5	nd FSR maps will be amended. T :1 and the height to 25m in the w e eastern portion of the site, and	estern portion of the site. The
Justification - s55 (2	2)(c)		
a) Has Council's strateg	gy been agreed to by the Direc	ctor General? <b>No</b>	
b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :		1.1 Business and Industrial Zon	nes
* May need the Director	r General's agreement	3.1 Residential Zones 3.4 Integrating Land Use and T 6.3 Site Specific Provisions 7.1 Implementation of the Metre	

Is the Director General's agreement required? Yes

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?

e) List any other matters that need to ,be considered :

Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes

If No, explain : No strategy has been prepared for this change in development standards. However an urban design study was prepared by the applicant testing 3 possible design solutions. This study is adequate.

# Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? Yes

Comment : An FSR and Height of Buildings map has been provided.

# Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

Comment : A 28 day community consultation period is considered appropriate.

# **Additional Director General's requirements**

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

### Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :

### **Proposal Assessment**

## Principal LEP:

Due Date :

Comments in relation The Lane Cove LEP was notified in February 2010. to Principal LEP :

### **Assessment Criteria**

Need for planning<br/>proposal :The planning proposal is not the result of a strategic study. An urban design study was<br/>commissioned to determine the most appropriate development standards and built form<br/>for this site, which is now in one ownership (currently developed for semi-detached<br/>dwellings). The FSR and height controls have been split between the western and eastern<br/>parcel of the land, with the eastern side containing the tower development.

The planning proposal will reduce building bulk and overshadowing for the south of Marshall Avenue.

# Height and FSR amendment - 1-25 Marshall Avenue, Lane Cove

Consistency with strategic planning framework :	The proposal is marginally inconsistent with the draft Inner North Subregional Strategy and Metropolitan Plan and the section 117 direction 1.1 Business and Industrial Zones and 3.1 Residential Zone and to the extent that it reduces the floor area for commercial and residential uses from 32 110m2 to 31 480m2.					
	However both this reduction of floor space is considered a minor non compliance and it will also improve residential amenity. The planning proposal is consistent with the St Leonards Strategy which sought to relax land use prohibitions to allow mixed use between Marshall Avenue and Marshall Lane. Council is not proposing to change the B4 Mixed Use zone.					
Environmental social economic impacts :						
Assessment Proces	S					
Proposal type :	Routine		Community Consultation Period :	28 Days		
Timeframe to make LEP :	9 Month		Delegation :	DG		
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d)						
Is Public Hearing by the	PAC required?	No				
(2)(a) Should the matter	proceed ?	Yes				
If no, provide reasons :						
Resubmission - s56(2)(b	) : <b>No</b>					
If Yes, reasons :						
Identify any additional st	udies, if required.					
If Other, provide reasons	5:					
Identify any internal cons	sultations, if required	:				
No internal consultation	n required					
Is the provision and fund	ing of state infrastruc	ture relevant	to this plan? <b>No</b>			
If Yes, reasons :						

Document File Name		DocumentType Name	ls Public <b>Yes</b>		
		Proposal			
maps.pdf		Мар	Yes		
maps2.pdf planning proposal.pdf Letter.pdf		Map Yes Proposal Yes			
		nning Team Recomn	nendation		
Preparation of the planning	ng proposal supported at this	stage : Recommended with Conditions			
S.117 directions:	1.1 Business and Industria	al Zones	10		
	3.1 Residential Zones				
	3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport				
	6.3 Site Specific Provisions 7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036				
Additional Information	It is recommended the Planning Proposal proceed subject to the following conditions:				
	(1) The planning proposal be exhibited for at least 28 days.				
	(2) The planning proposal be completed within nine months of the Gateway Determination.				
	(3) No Public Authority co	nsultation is required.			
Supporting Reasons :	The Planning Proposal should be approved for the following reasons:				
	(1) the proposed change are suitable as they do not substantially reduce the capacity of the site.				
	(2) the proposal will reduce overshadowing for the neighbouring properties.				
	and have lesser impacts on the surrounding environment than allowed under the current controls.				
Signature	Susan St	My,			

